

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,084	03/31/2004	Stephen T. Flock	D6462CIP2	7354
7590 10/10/2006			EXAMINER	
Benjamin Aaron Adler ADLER & ASSOCIATES 8011 Candle Lane Houston, TX 77071			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/10/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

••		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,084	FLOCK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rosiland S. Rollins	3739			
The MAILING DATA Period for Reply	of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the n - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	R, FROM THE MAILING D ble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 nailing date of this communication. above, the maximum statutory period xtended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailing	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON and date of this communication, even if timely file	N. imely filed m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 31 A	March 2004.				
2a) This action is FINA						
3) Since this application)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance	ce with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cla 5) Claim(s) is/a 6) Claim(s) is/a 7) Claim(s) is/a	re rejected.	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not rec Replacement drawing	quest that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o examiner. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19					
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some of the application for	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: ies of the priority documenties of the priority documente certified copies of the priority the International Burea	ts have been received in Applica prity documents have been receiv	tion Noved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (P Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 		4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date	nent(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species I in figure 2; Species II in figure 3; Species III in figure 5; Species IV in figure 6; Species V in figure 7; Species VI in figure 8a, Species VII in figure 8b, Species VIII in figure 9, Species IX in figure 10, Species X in figure 11; Species XI in figure 12; Species XII in figure 13b; Species XIII in figure 13b; Species XIV in figure 16a, Species XV in figure 16b; Species XVI in figure 16c; Species XVII in figure 17; Species XVIII in figure 18; Species XIX in figure 19b; Species XX.in figure 20; Species XXI in figure 22; Species XXII in figure 23; Species XXIII in figures 24a-d; Species XXIV in figures 25a-b; Species XXV in figure 26; Species XXVI in figure 27; Species XXVII in figure 31; Species XXVIII in figures 29a-b; Species XXIX in figure 30; Species XXXII in figure 31; Species XXXII in figure 35; Species XXXII in figure 36; Species XXXIII in figure 37; Species XXXII in figure 40a-b; Species XXXVI in figure 41; Species XXXVI in figure 42; Species XXXVI in figure 43. The species are independent or distinct because they disclose various embodiments of the invention.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,084 Page 4

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S. Rollins whose telephone number is (571) 272-4772. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739